The Slovenian Constitutional Review



A. Mavčič

THE SLOVENIAN CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW

The Slovenian Constitutional Review

Prof. Dr. Arne Marjan Mavčič

e-mail: amavcic@concourts.net Internet: www.concourts.net Date: completed on 31 July 2009

© 2009 Dr. Arne Mavčič - all rights reserved

DTP: Edo Milavec, MV d.o.o., Postojna, Slovenia

Contents

Th	ne Author	.7
I. Co	onstitutional History	.9
II. Cu	rrent Sources of Constitutional Law	13
1. Ge	neral	13
2.1. Ge. 2.2. Am 2.2.1. 14 2.2.2. 25 2.2.3. 7 M	the 1991 Constitution In the constitution of Art. 68(2) (Official Gazette RS, No. 42/97). July 2000: Constitutional Amendment of Art. 80 (Official Gazette RS, No. 66/00). March 2003: Constitutional Amendment of First Chapter	14 16 16 16
2.2.4. 23	rt. 3a added) and Arts. 47 and 68 (Official Gazette RS, No. 24/03)	
III. Co	onstitutional Review	19
1.1. The 1.2. Par	eneral	19
2.1. Ge. 2.2. Rel	e Slovenian Model of the Constitutional Review	28 31
2.2.2. Con 2.2.3. Con	ncerning the Legislature	32 33
3. The	e Date and Context of the Establishment of the Constitutional Review	35
4.1. Ima 4.1.1. Wo A) Sala B) Em	Ages - The System in Force munities, Incompatibilities, Material Independence, and Protocol Rank orking Conditions of Constitutional Court Judges ary and allowances. uployment period and social insurance her personal incomes and reimbursements.	42 43 43 44
E) The 4.2. The 4.3. Spe	nual leave and other days off. e rights of judges of the Constitutional Court after the expiration of their term of office e Appointment/Election of Judges to the Constitutional Court	44 45 46
4.3.1.1. Practice 4.3.1.2. Reg	ctice until 1991gulation in Force and the Current Practice	47 49

5.	Powers	53
5.1.	. The Powers of the Constitutional Courts as Proof of its Independence	55
5.1.1	. Interpretative Decisions	56
5.1.2	. Appelate Decisions	58
5.2.	. Regulation in Force	60
5.2.1	. Powers, Classified by the Legal Basis	60
5.2.1.1	. Powers Determined by the Constitution (Art. 160, Constitution)	60
5.2.1.2	. Powers Determined by Law	61
5.2.2	. Powers, Classified by the Contents	61
5.2.2.1	. A Priori Review of Norms	61
5.2.2.2	. A Posteriori Review of Norms	61
5.2.2.3	Other Powers	62
6.	Applicants Before the Constitutional Court	63
6.1	Legal Interest Before the Constitutional Court	63
6.2	. Ordinary Courts as Applicants	67
6.2.1	Preliminary Issues - Plea of Unconstitutionality	67
6.2.2	. Exception of Unconstitutionality	69
6.2.3	Screening	70
6.2.4	. Scope of Referral of the Constitutional Court – "ex officio" Assessment	70
6.2.5	. Parties in the Procedure	71
7.	. The Nature and Effects of Decisions	72
,	The Nature and Effects of Decisions	
7.1	Type of Decision.	72
7.1. 7.2.	. Type of Decision	72 75
7.1. 7.2. 7.3.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences. The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions	72 75
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences. The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions. The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court	72 75 80
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences. The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions. The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court. The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions.	72 75 80 80
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences. The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions. The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions	72 75 80 82
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8. 8.1.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History	72 75 80 82 83
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8. 8.1. 8.2.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History Basic	72 75 80 82 83 83
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8. 8.1. 8.2. 8.3.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences. The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions. The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court. The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions. The Constitutional Complaint. History. Basic. Procedure	72 75 80 82 83 83
7.11. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8. 8.1. 8.2. 8.3.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History Basic Procedure Influence of the European Case-Law.	72 75 80 82 83 83 85 92
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8. 8.1. 8.2. 8.3. 8.4.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History Basic Procedure Influence of the European Case-Law. Organisation of the Constitutional Court and the Court Administration	72 75 80 82 83 83 85 92
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8.1. 8.2. 8.3. 8.4. 8.5.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History Basic Procedure Influence of the European Case-Law. Organisation of the Constitutional Court and the Court Administration Composition.	72 75 80 82 83 83 85 92 97 100
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8. 8.1. 8.2. 8.3. 8.4. 8.5. 8.5.1. 8.5.2.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History Basic Procedure Influence of the European Case-Law. Organisation of the Constitutional Court and the Court Administration Composition.	72 75 80 82 83 85 92 97 100
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8.1. 8.2. 8.3. 8.4. 8.5. 8.5.1. 8.5.2. 8.5.3.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences. The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions. The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History. Basic. Procedure. Influence of the European Case-Law. Organisation of the Constitutional Court and the Court Administration. Composition. Organization.	72 75 80 82 83 85 92 97 100 101
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8. 8.1. 8.2. 8.3. 8.4. 8.5. 8.5.1. 8.5.2. 8.5.3.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History Basic Procedure Influence of the European Case-Law. Organisation of the Constitutional Court and the Court Administration Composition. Organization. The Financing (the Budget) of the Constitutional Court as the Basis of its Independence The Payment of Fees in Constitutional Court	72 75 80 82 83 85 92 97 100 101 102
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8.1. 8.2. 8.3. 8.4. 8.5. 8.5.1. 8.5.2. 8.5.3. 8.5.4.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History Basic Procedure Influence of the European Case-Law. Organisation of the Constitutional Court and the Court Administration Composition. Organization. The Financing (the Budget) of the Constitutional Court as the Basis of its Independence The Payment of Fees in Constitutional Court Public Control/the Public Nature of the Activities of the Constitutional Court	72 75 80 82 83 85 97 100 101 102 102
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8. 8.1. 8.2. 8.3. 8.4. 8.5.1. 8.5.2. 8.5.3. 8.5.4. 8.5.5.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History Basic Procedure Influence of the European Case-Law. Organisation of the Constitutional Court and the Court Administration Composition. Organization. The Financing (the Budget) of the Constitutional Court as the Basis of its Independence The Payment of Fees in Constitutional Court Public Control/the Public Nature of the Activities of the Constitutional Court Public Hearings.	72 75 80 82 83 85 92 97 100 101 102 102
7.1. 7.2. 7.3. 7.4. 7.5. 8. 8.1. 8.2. 8.3. 8.4. 8.5. 8.5.1. 8.5.2. 8.5.3. 8.5.4. 8.5.5. 8.5.5.1.	Type of Decision. Effects - Consequences The Appointment of a Body Empowered to Implement Court Decisions The Rehearing of Proceedings Before the Constitutional Court The Publication of Constitutional Court Decisions The Constitutional Complaint History Basic Procedure Influence of the European Case-Law. Organisation of the Constitutional Court and the Court Administration Composition. Organization. The Financing (the Budget) of the Constitutional Court as the Basis of its Independence The Payment of Fees in Constitutional Court Public Control/the Public Nature of the Activities of the Constitutional Court	72 75 80 82 83 85 92 97 100 101 102 102 103

9. The European Model of the Constitutional Review in the Chrisis?	06
9.1. Some Legal Measures – as an Experiment Towards the Tendency of Limitation	
in Favour of Lower Number of Arrived Cases – A Stronger Selection	
in Favour of "more important" Cases	07
9.2. What Kind of Reforms should ne Implemented?	
The American or the German "Model of Selection of the Relevant Cases"?1	10
9.3. Extension of Responsibility of Other Protectors of Constitutionality and Legality?1	13
9.4. Constitutionalisation and Judicalisation of the Political	
and Judicial Decision-Making	15
9.5. Should we Let Thigs Take Their Course? Is it the Currently Expected	
and Belowed Course the Appropriate One?1	.16
IV. References	19

The Author 7

The Author

Arne Mavčič, LLD, completed his Bachelor of Law degree at the University of Ljubljana Law School from which, after completing his post-graduate studies at the Universities of Zagreb and Ljubljana Law Schools, he was awarded a Doctorate of Law. As head of the Information Department and the Analysis and International Cooperation Department of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Slovenia from 1976 to 2009, Senior Expert Counsellor to the University of Ljubljana Law School from 1981 and Professor of Human Rights Law at the School of Government and European Studies, Brdo, Slovenia, from 2001 and at the European Law School, Nova Gorica, Slovenia, from 2003, he specializes in legal information systems, (comparative) constitutional law and human rights law. Dr Mavčič was the liaison officer for Slovenia to the Commission for Democracy through Law (the Venice Commission) under the Council of Europe from 1991 to 2009, the national correspondent for Slovenia to the ACCPUF Paris from 1998 to 2009. He was a member on Slovenia to the EU Network of Experts for Human Rights, 2002 – 2006 and he has been member for Slovenia of the EU FRALEX from 2006. He was a Fulbright Scholar in 2001/2002 and an editor of the Collected Slovenian Constitutional Case-Law series from 1992 to 2009. He has been a national author for Slovenia of Kluwer's Encyclopaedia of Constitutional Law from 1998. As the editor and author of several other publications in the field of constitutional law as well as the author of over 250 papers and reports of national and international character, Dr Mavčič has predominantly been engaged in practical and promotional activities in the fields of comparative constitutional review and human rights law.

CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY

In 1848, Slovenian intellectuals drafted the first original amendments to the Austrian Constitution in the Slovenian language: (Address of the Vienna Slovenians (1848), Petition of the Slovenians (1848), Slovenian Petition (1848), Petition of the Slovenia Society (1848), Petition of Matija Majer (1849) ¹

Further national programmes formulated between 1861 and 1914 united Slovenians and gave rise to the notion of a union of southern Slavs.

The May Declaration of 1917 demanded the unification of all Slovenians, Croats and Serbs living under Habsburg rule as one constitutional entity, and that same year the Corfu Conference and Declaration led to the establishment of the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenians (SHS), which was finally proclaimed on 1 December 1918. Between 1919 and 1920 the Kingdom's frontiers with Italy and Austria were settled.

The first Yugoslav Vidovdan Constitution was adopted on 28 June 1921 as a Serbian-dominated centralist constitution.

In 1929, King Alexander abolished the Vidovdan Constitution and declared a temporary royal dictatorship. A new strongly centralistic constitution was adopted in 1931 to provide a fig leaf for the royal dictatorship. Following King Alexander's assassination, the Regency Council was established. This lasted from 1934 to 1941.

However, by the Draft Decree of the Banovina Slovenia of August 1939 (which was drafted on the basis of the Decree of the Banovina Hrvartska, Službeni list Kraljevske banske uprave Dravske banovine, X, No. 70, p. 606-608) some elements of constitutional review were framed ².

After the invasion of the Axis powers in 1941, Slovenia was divided between Italy, Hungary and Germany. In that same year, the Slovenian Communist Liberation Front was founded. Communist and anti-communist resistance followed between 1941 and 1945. In May 1945, the National Committee established the Slovenian parliament and declared the united Slovenia to be part of federal Yugoslavia, known between 1945 and 1980 as Tito's Yugoslavia. The Federal Constitution was adopted in 1946, establishing a federation consisting of six republics, includ-

¹ Published in Constitutions of the World from the late 18th Century to the Middle of the 19th Century Online, Verfassungen der Welt vom späten 18. Jahrhundert bis Mitte des 19. Jahrhunderts Online, Sources on the Rise of Modern Constitutionalism, Quellen zur Herausbildung des modernen Konstitutionalismus, Edited by / Herausgeben von Horst Dippel, http://www.modernconstitutions.de/

² Šmid, Gašper. Uprava Dravske Banovine 1929-1941, Ljubljana 2003, Arhiv Republike Slovenije v Ljubljani, p. 103 in Mahnič, David. Razvoj sodne presoje ustavnosti v državah na območju nekdanje Jugoslavije, magistrsko, delo, Fakulteta za podiplomske državne in evropske študije, Kranj, 2006, p. 104-107.

ing Slovenia. The right of the people to self-determination, including secession, was stated in Art. 1 of the Federal Constitution.

The people's rights were repeated in the Constitution of the People's Republic of Slovenia of 1947 (Arts. 2 and 10). Under the Slovenian Constitution of 1947, the organization of Slovenia as a constituent republic of the Yugoslav federation was based on the principles of unity of power, democratic centralism and dual responsibility. The supreme bodies of the people's power in Slovenia were the People's Assembly and its Presidium, while the government was at the head of the state administration. The judges of the Supreme Court were elected by the People's Assembly, other judges by the People's Committees.

The later Federal Constitution of 1963 was the basis for further decentralization and the concept of a "self-managed society". This was also reflected in the new Slovenian Constitution of 1963. Under the Slovenian Constitutional Act of 1953 the People's Assembly was bicameral, comprising the Chamber of the Republics and the Chamber of Producers. The Presidium and the government were abolished, the Executive Council (e.g. the Government) was introduced and administrative bodies were given a higher degree of independence.

The Slovenian Constitution of 1963 introduced some changes relating to the parliamentary chambers, but in the area of the judiciary and communal system there were no major changes. The constitutional review exercised by the constitutional court was introduced totally following the European/German/concerntrated/continental(Kelsen's model of constitutional review.

In the years between 1968 and 1974 a thorough reform of the federation was conducted, which strengthened the position of the republics and provinces. In 1969 the Assembly's structure was modified. Under the constitutional amendments of 1971 the position of President of the Assembly was strengthened, acquiring some of the powers of the head of state. The Executive Council (e.g. the Government) became increasingly linked to the administrative bodies. The Federal Constitutional Amendments of 1971 gave wider powers to the Federal Chamber of Nationalities and the Republics at the expense of the federation.

The new Federal Constitution of 1974 as well as the new Slovenian Constitution of 1974 strengthened the concept of a "self-managed socialist society". The Slovenian Constitution of 1974 introduced a tricameral parliament. Members of the Republic Assembly were elected indirectly, via delegations, and acted on the instructions of their delegation base. The office of president as head of state was introduced, the president being elected by the municipal assemblies. The Executive Council (e.g. the Government) was responsible for all areas, while administrative bodies were responsible for areas specifically assigned to them. Besides ordinary courts, so-called self-managing courts were established. The organization of municipalities was very similar to that of the Republic.

The then Slovenian constitutions were only constitutions of a federal unit and not constitutions of a sovereign state. There was an absolute necessity for the transition from a system characterized by the privilege of a certain belief, by the monopoly of a certain political organization, and by an electoral system that was opposed to the principle of the equal right of all citizens to participate in the decision-making process on public matters to a system involving the right to freedom of political organization, to simplified and clear electoral proceedings, free from the monopolistic role of any political organization.

In March 1987, the magazine New Review (*Nova revija*) published the Contributions to the Slovenian National Programme. The Materials on the New Democratic Slovenian Constitution were published in April 1988. In June 1988, the Committee for the Defence of Human Rights was formed as the first democratic forum and in May 1989 Slovenian writers organized an informal referendum on Slovenia's constitutional status (the May Declaration).

Amendments to the 1974 Slovenian Constitution adopted in September 1989 introduced pluralism of the political system. Amendment X established the permanent, unlimited and inalienable right of the Slovenian people to self-determination, including the right to secession and union. In December 1989 the Political Organizations Act and the Parliamentary Elections Act were adopted. The constitutional amendments XCI-XCV passed in March 1990 eliminated the term "socialist" from the Republic's name and established the freedom to found political organizations and equal rights for all political organizations.

A fully elaborated Draft New Slovenian Constitution was published in April 1990, while on 2 July 1990 the Declaration on the Sovereignty of the Republic of Slovenia was proclaimed. In September of the same year the Slovenian parliament established the National Guard (territorial defence force) under the Republic's control and in October, in implementing the Declaration on Sovereignty, the Slovenian Assembly passed the constitutional amendments XCVI–XCVIII, which invalidated all constitutional laws of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia that were not in conformity with the Slovenian Constitution. The plebiscite of 23 December 1990 showed 88.2% of the voters (93.2% of the electorate) to be in favour of independence. The ultimatum of the Belgrade government to Slovenia demanding the disarmament of Slovenian territorial defence units was rejected by the Slovenian government in January 1991. "The independence amendment" was passed by the Slovenian parliament on 22 February 1991, providing the normative basis for the sovereign conduct of internal and international affairs.

On 25 June 1991 the Slovenian parliament declared independence and adopted The Basic Constitutional Charter on the Sovereignty and Independence of the Republic of Slovenia. Under Art. I(2) of the Charter, the Constitution of the Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia ceased to be in force for the Republic of Slovenia. However, on 8 July 1991 Slovenia agreed—through the Brioni Declaration

– on the moratorium to "freeze" further activities directed towards the exercise of its sovereignty for three months. On 20 November 1991 the Denationalization Act was passed and on 23 December of that year the Constitution of the Republic of Slovenia was adopted (Official Gazette RS, No. 33/91).

On 16 December 1991 the EC foreign ministers in Brussels declared Slovenia's international recognition by 15 January 1992. On 24 March 1992 Slovenia was accepted as a member of the CSCE and on 22 May 1992 as a member of the United Nations, while on 12 May 1993 it became a member of the Council of Europe. On 14 May 1993 Slovenia signed the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms of 4 November 1950, including the 11 Protocols ratified on 8 June 1994 (Official Gazette of the RS, International Contracts, No. 33/94). In September 1993 Slovenia concluded a Simple Cooperation Agreement with the European Communities, but on 12 July 1995 it concluded a European Treaty with the European Union. On 11 September 1995 Slovenia joined CEFTA.

During the period of Slovenia's accession to the European Union some constitutional amendments were adopted: on 14 July 1997 the constitutional amendment of Art. 68(2) (Official Gazette RS, No. 42/97); on 25 July 2000 the constitutional amendment of Art. 80 (Official Gazette RS, No. 66/00); on 7 March 2003 the First Chapter (Art. 3a added) and Arts. 47 and 68 of the Constitution were amended (Official Gazette RS, No. 24/03).

On 23 March 2003 the majority of the Slovenian electorate voted in favour of accession to the European Union and NATO. On 2 April 2004 Slovenia joined NATO and on 16 April 2003 signed the EU Treaty of Accession, joining the European Union on 1 May 2004. Later, on 23 June 2004, Arts. 14, 43 and 50 of the Constitution were amended (Official Gazette RS, No. 69/04).

The Slovenian Presidency of the Council of the European Union from 1 January to 30 June 2008 was a great challenge for Slovenia as one of the youngest EU Member States. The programme of the Slovenian Presidency was basically determined already in the 18-month programme of the EU trio Presidency of Germany, Portugal and Slovenia, however, Slovenia itself defined some priority areas of its action. The EU Council Presidency also offered an opportunity for the promotion of the country throughout the six-month period.

IV. REFERENCES

- ACCETTO, Matej, On Law and politics in the Federal Balance: Lessons from Yugoslavia, Review of Central and East European Law 32(2007), Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, p.191-231
- CONSTITUTION of the Republic of Slovenia, Official Translation (Cerar Miro, Grad Franc, Mavčič Arne, Šinkovec Borut, Lidija Šega, Barlič Nina, Metcalfe Roger, De Vos Dean, Uradni list Republike Slovenije, Ljubljana 2001
- CONSTITUTIONS of the World from the late 18th Century to the Middle of the 19th Century Online, Verfassungen der Welt vom späten 18. Jahrhundert bis Mitte des 19. Jahrhunderts Online, Sources on the Rise of Modern Constitutionalism, Quellen zur Herausbildung des modernen Konstitutionalismus, Edited by / Herausgeben von Horst Dippel, http://www.modern-constitutions.de/
- Deset let dela Ustavnega sodišča Slovenije, izd. Ustavno sodišče Slovenije,
 Založba Dopisna delavska univerza, Ljubljana 1974, p. 23
- GLOBEVNIK, Josip. Naša ustavna sodišča, Občan, No. 7-9/63
- GLOBEVNIK, Josip. Ustavni spor, Pravnik, No. 7–9/79, p. 199
- GLOBEVNIK, Josip. Ustavnosodna ocena ustavnosti in zakonitosti, Pravnik, No. 1–3/76, p. 7
- GLOBEVNIK, Josip. Ustavnost in zakonitost in ustavno sodstvo v naši politični ureditvi, Javna uprava, No. 7–8/62
- GLOBEVNIK, Josip. Naša ustavna sodišča, Občan, No. 7-9/63
- GRAD, Franc; KAUČIČ, Igor; POGAČNIK, Miha; TIČAR, Bojan; NERAD, Sebastian. The Constitutional System of the Republic of Slovenia, Trst, Ljubljana, 2002
- GRGIČ, Tomo. Razpotja ustavnega sodstva, Teorija in praksa, No. 8–9/70
- HARUTYUNYAN, Gagik, MAVČIČ, Arne. Constitutional review and its development in the modern world: a comparative constitutional analysis. Ljubljana; Yerevan: Hayagitak, 1999. p. 444, graf. [COBISS.SI-ID 105830144]
- JAMBREK, Peter. *Prispevek k razpravi o načinih in tehnikah odločanja Ustavnega sodišča*, Pravna praksa, No. 6/94, p. 2

120 IV. References

 JAMBREK, Peter. Razvoj in izhodišča nove slovenske ustavnosti, Nova ustavna ureditev Slovenije, Zbornik razprav, Ljubljana, ČZP Uradni list RS, 1992, p. 7

- JAMBREK, Peter. Slovensko Ustavno sodišče pod okriljem evropskih standardov in mehanizmov za varovanje človekovih pravic, Temeljne pravice, Ljubljana, Cankarjeva založba, 1997, p. 330-362
- JAMBREK, Peter, *Ustavna demokracija*, Državna založba Slovenije Ljubljana 1992, p. 338-339.
- JEROVŠEK, T. Postopek pred Ustavnim sodiščem in pravne posledice njegovih odločitev, Nova ustavna ureditev Slovenije, Zbornik razprav, Ljubljana, ČZP Uradni list RS, 1992, p. 249
- JEROVŠEK, Tone. Zakon o Ustavnem sodišču, Pravna praksa, No. 5/94, p.1
- KRANJC, Janez. *Ustavna pritožba kot institut varstva človekovih pravic*, Temeljne pravice, Ljubljana, Cankarjeva založba, 1997, P. 362-406
- KRBEK, Ivo, *Ustavno sudovanje*, Zagreb 1960, Izdavački zavod Jugoslavenske akademije znanosti I umjetnosti
- KREFT, Ivan. Problem ustavnega sodstva, Naši razgledi, 1962, p. 427
- KRIVIC, Matevž. Pravne posledice ustavnosodne razveljavitve in odprave predpisov, Pravnik, No. 9-10/92, p. 355
- KRIVIC, Vladimir. Družbena vloga ustavnega sodstva v novem ustavnem sistemu, Občan, No. 16–17/72 and 18/72
- KRISTAN, Andrej, *Tri razsežnosti pravne države*, Slabitev pravne države z omejevanjem dostopa do ustavnega sodišča, revus(2009) 9, p. 65–89
- KRISTAN, Ivan. Federalizem in ustavno sodstvo v Jugoslaviji, Zbornik znanstvenih razprav, 1984, p. 87
- KRISTAN, Ivan. *Ustavno sodstvo*, Nova ustavna ureditev Slovenije, Zbornik razprav, Ljubljana, ČZP Uradni list RS, 1992, p. 236
- KRISTAN, IVAN. *Ustavno sodišče in parlament*, Zbornik znanstvenih razprav, Ljubljana, Pravna fakulteta Univerze v Ljubljani, 1991
- KRISTAN, Ivan. Ustavno sodstvo in ustavna pritožba, Zbornik znanstvenih razprav, 1992, LII, p. 181-207
- MAVČIČ, Arne: http://www.concourts.net
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Economical and social rights, regulation and practice in Slovenia. Konstitucionnoe pravosudie, 2002/2003, 4/1=18/19, p. 159-218.
 [COBISS.SI-ID 513403768]

- MAVČIČ, Arne. Slovenia. V: BLACKBURN, Robert (ur.), POLAKIEWICZ, Jörg (ur.). Fundamental rights in Europe: the European Convention on Human Rights and its member states, 1950-2000. New York: Oxford University Press, 2001, str. 781-808. [COBISS.SI-ID 154111]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Konstitucionnaja žalova v Slovenii: vystuplenie zavedujuščego otdela meždunarodnyh svjazej Konstitucionnogo suda Respubliki Slovenija Arne Mavčiča. Azarbaycan Respublikasi Konstitusiya Mahkamasinin melumati, 2004, 1, p. 97-99. [COBISS.SI-ID 239103]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Konstitucionnyj kontrol v Respublike Slovenija. Evropejskie pravovye kul'tury, 2002, 1, No. 1, p. 441-463. [COBISS.SI-ID 197887]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. La aceptación de un moderno sistema de protección de derechos humanos por la justicia constitucional en Eslovenia. Revista iberoamericana de derecho procesal constitucional, 2007, No. 7, p. 181-197. [COBISS.SI-ID 305663]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Slovenian constitutional review: its position in the world and its role in the transition to a new democratic system. Ljubljana: Nova revija, 1995. p. 235, [18] p. appendix. ISBN 961-6017-25-X. [COBISS.SI-ID 55585280]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Sloveniya Respublikasi konstitusiya mahkamasinin analitik va beynalxalq amakdaşliq idarasinin rahbari. Azarbaycan Respublikasi Konstitusiya Mahkamasinin melumati, 2003, 1, p. 97-106. [COBISS.SI-ID 190207]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Sloveniyada konstitusiza şikayati: Sloveniya Respublikasinin konstitusiya mahkamasinda beynalxalq alaqualar şöbasinin müdiri Arne Mavçiçin çixiş. Azarbaycan Respublikasi Konstitusiya Mahkamasinin melumati, 2004, 1, p. 69-71. [COBISS.SI-ID 239359]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. The adoption of the new of first constitutions in the countries in transition: the Slovenian example. Pravni život, 2002, 472, 51, 12, p. 1013-1036. [COBISS.SI-ID 513402488]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. The Constitutional Law of Slovenia (International Encyclopaedia of Laws), The Hague, London, Boston, 1998.
- MAVČIČ, Arne. The constitutional review. The Netherlands: BookWorld Publications, cop. 2001. p. 240, ilustr., zvd. ISBN 90-75228-18-X. [COBISS. SI-ID 152063]

122 IV. References

• MAVČIČ, Arne. The Protection of Fundamental Rights by the Constitutional Court and the Practice of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Slovenia, The Protection of Fundamental Rights by the Constitutional Courts. Proceedings of the UniDem Seminar, Brioni/Croatia, on 23-25 September 1995, European Commission for Democracy through Law, Collection Science and Technique of Democracy, No. 15, Council of Europe Publishing, 1996, p. 204-231

- MAVČIČ, Arne. The Republic of Slovenia. V: KORTMANN, C. A. J. M. (ur.), FLEUREN, J. W. A. (ur.), VOERMANS, Wim (ur.), KINDLOVÁ, Miluše. Constitutional law of 10 EU member states: the 2004 enlargement. Deventer: Kluwer, 2006, p. X/1-X/60. [COBISS.SI-ID 300287]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. The Slovenian Constitutional Court and its activities during the period of transition. Revue de justice constitutionnelle Est-Européenne, 2001, 2, p. 229-251. [COBISS.SI-ID 155391]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. The Slovenian constitutional review: an exception among systems and experiences in the new democracies. Journal Constitutional Law Eastern Central Europe, 1997, vol. 4, No. 2, p. 169-214. [COBISS.SI-ID 74751]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. The specialities of Slovenian constitutional review as compared with the current systems of souch review in the new democracies. V: DE VERGOTTINI, Giuseppe (ur.). Giustizia constituzionale e sviluppo democratico nei paesi dell'Europa centro-orientale, (CISR, 21). Torino: G. Giappichelli, cop. 2001, p. 161-188. [COBISS.SI-ID 97791]
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Urejanje medsebojnih razmerij v združenem delu v praksi ustavnih sodišč, Gospodarska založba pri Gospodarskem vestniku, Ljubljana, 1982
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Urejanje stanovanjskih vprašanj v OZD in SIS ter gospodarjenje s poslovnimi prostori v praksi ustavnih sodišč, Gospodarska založba pri Gospodarskem vestniku, Ljubljana, 1982
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Uresničevanje interesov delovnih ljudi in občanov v krajevni skupnosti, občini in v samoupravnih interesnih skupnostih v praksi ustavnih sodišč, Gospodarska založba pri Gospodarskem vestniku, Ljubljana, 1984
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Urejanje medsebojnih razmerij v združenem delu v praksi ustavnih sodišč, II. knjiga, ČGP Delo, TOZD Gospodarski vestnik, Ljubljana, 1986

- MAVČIČ, Arne. Verfahrensarten, Entscheidungswirkungen und die einstweilige Anordnung in der slowenischen Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit. V: FROWEIN, Jochen Abr. (ur.), MARAUHN, Thilo (ur.). Grundfragen der Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit in Mittel- und Osteuropa, (Beiträge zum ausländischen öffentlichen Recht und Völkerrecht, Bd. 130). Berlin [etc.]: Springer, cop. 1998, p. 427-440. [COBISS.SI-ID 74495]
- MAVČIČ, Arne: www.concourts.net
- MAVČIČ, Arne. Zakon o ustavnem sodišču s pojasnili, (Zbirka Nomos). Ljubljana: Nova revija, 2000. p. 426 ISBN 961-6352-06-7. [COBISS.SI-ID 106511360]
- NERAD, Sebastian. Pravni interes za ustavnosodno presojo zakonov in drugih predpisov, REVUS-revija za evropsko ustavnost, No. 4/2005, GV Založba Ljubljana, p. 42
- NOVAK, Marko. Še o diskrecijski izbiri vlog za ustavnosodno presojo, Pravna praksa, No. 9/2007, GV Založba Ljubljana, p. 16
- Official Website of the Constitutional Court of the republic of Slovenia: http://www.us-rs.si
- PAVČNIK, Marijan, Teorija prava, Ljubljana, Cankarjeva založba, 1997, p. 329
- PAVČNIK, Marijan. *Understanding Basic (Human) Rights (On the Example of the Constitution of the Republic of Slovenia)*, East European Human Rights Review, Volume 2/1996, Number 1, p. 41-57
- PAVČNIK, Marijan. Verfassungsauslegung am Beispiel der Grundrechte in der neuen slowenischen Verfassung, WGO Monatshefte fuer Osteuropaeisches Recht, 35th yearbook 1993, Volume 6, p. 345-356
- PAVLIČIČ, Jože. 20-letnica ustavnega sodstva v SR Sloveniji, Pravnik, No. 3–4/84. p. 95
- PAVLIHA, Marko. O reformi ustavnega sodišča, Pravna praksa, No. 48/2006, GV Založba Ljubljana, p. 6
- PROBLEMS OF LEGISLATIVE OMISSION IN CONSTITUTIONAL JURISPRUDENCE, *Questionnaire The Constitutional Court of the Republic of Slovenia*, National report,(XIV Congress of the Conference of European Constitutional Courts), Vilnius, 2-7 June 2008 (http://www.lrkt.lt/Conference_R.ht)
- RIBARIČ, Miha. Varstvo ustavnosti in zakonitosti, Naši razgledi, No. 13/79,
 p. 1

124 IV. References

RIBARIČ, Miha. *Vloga ustavnih sodišč v skupščinskem sistemu*, Teorija in praksa, No. 11/85, p. 1403

- RIBIČIČ, Ciril. Razbremenjevanje ESČP in Ustavnega sodišča, Podjetje in delo, No. 7/2007, GV Založba Ljubljana, p. 993
- RUPNIK, Janko. Ideja vladavine prava in mesto ustavnega sodstva, Teorija in praksa, No. 1–2/74
- RUPNIK, Janko, CIJAN, Rafael, GRAFENAUER, BOŽO, *Ustavno pravo Republike Slovenije*, Posebni del, II. knjiga, Maribor, Univerza v Mariboru, Pravna fakulteta, 1994, p. 291
- RUPNIK, Janko. Ustavno sodstvo in njegovi problemi, Teorija in praksa, No. 4/67
- RUPNIK, Janko. Ustavno sodstvo kot element političnega sistema, Teorija in praksa, No. 5/72
- RUPNIK, Janko. Ustavnost, demokracija in politični sistem, Maribor, Založba Obzorja, 1975
- STONE SWEET, Alec, *Constitutions and Judicial Power*, in Caramani Daniele, Comparative Politics, Oxford, Založnik Oxford University Press, 2008, ISBN 0199298416, 9780199298419, p. 217-239.
- STROBL, Majda. Funkcija ustavnega sodstva pri varovanju ustavnosti in zakonitosti, Zbornik PF Lj, 1980, p. 149
- ŠINKOVEC, Janez. Ustavno sodišče ob uveljavljanju ustavnosti in zakonitosti, Podjetje in delo, No. 56/93, p. 410
- ŠMID, Gašper. Uprava Dravske Banovine 1929-1941, Ljubljana 2003, Arhiv Republike Slovenije v Ljubljani, p. 103 in Mahnič, David. Razvoj sodne presoje ustavnosti v državah na območju nekdanje Jugoslavije, magistrsko, delo, Fakulteta za podiplomske državne in evropske študije, Kranj, 2006, p. 104-107
- ŠTURM, Lovro. *Ustavnosodna presoja načela enakosti v Sloveniji*, Pravna praksa, no, 15, p.2
- ŠTURM, Lovro. Načelo sorazmernosti v slovenskem pravu, Pravna praksa, No. 25, p. 2
- ŠTURM, Lovro. *Ustavno(sodna) presoja o pravni pravilnosti aktov državnih organov*, Zbornik znanstvenih razprav, 1996, LVI, p. 261-283
- TERŠEK, Andraž. Ne (le) sprememba ZUS, ampak (tudi) opustitev dogem!,
 Pravna praksa, No. 26/2006, GV Založba Ljubljana, p. 18

- TERŠEK, Andraž. Politična moč mora zagotavljati "vrhovnost ustavnosti",
 Pravna praksa, No. 1/2007, GV Založba Ljubljana, p. 31
- TERŠEK, Andraž. Za reformo ustavnosodne politike, Pravna praksa, No. 5/2007, GV Založba Ljubljana, p. 22
- TESTEN, Franc. *Tehnike odločanja Ustavnega sodišča v abstraktni presoji*, Pravna praksa, No. 1/99, p. 5.
- TOMINŠEK, Fedor. *Ustavnosodno varstvo*, Zbirka Priročniki, No. 57/80, p. 69 73
- TORBIČ, Miloš, *The Presentation of Certain Issues of the Information System of the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Slovenia*, Prispevki s III. konference generalnih sekretarjev ustavnih sodišč in drugih sodišč z ustavnosodno pristojnostjo, Bled, 29. 30. september 2005, www.us-rs.si
- TÜRK, Danilo. *Za avtoriteto in učinkovitost državnih institucij*, Pravna praksa, No. 19/2008, GV Založba Ljubljana, p. 6
- Ustavnosodno varstvo v Sloveniji, Dopisna delavska univerza, Ljubljana September 1972, p. 5
- VELJKOVIĆ, Tomislav, Izdvojeno mišljenje sudije Ustavnog suda, Naša zakonitost, No. 7-8/1988, p. 934.
- VIDIC, Anton. Nekatera vprašanja v zvezi s pristojnostjo ustavnih sodišč ter vsebino in načinom njihovega dela, Pravnik, No. 3 4/86, p. 177
- WITTLIN, Maggie, Memorandum from Maggie Wittlin to Prof. Dr. Arne Mavčič, Head of the Analysis and Internat'l Cooperation Dep't, Constitutional Court of the Republic of Slovenia (July 15, 2009)
- Zakon o spremembah in dopolnitvah Zakona o Ustavnem sodišču, EPA 1323-IV, druga obravnava MDT, Poročevalec Državnega zbora, No. 35/07 of 14/5-2007
- Zakon o spremembah in dopolnitvah zakona o Ustavnem sodišču, Predlog zakona, No. 700-03 / 93 0002 / 0033, EPA: 1323 IV, Poročevalec Državnega zbora, No. 35/2007 of 14.05.2007 Poročilo MDT
- 10 godina rada Ustavnog suda Jugoslavije, izd. Ustavni sud Jugoslavije, Beograd, oktobra 1973, p. 16-17

